

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF SOUTH CAROLINA AIKEN DIVISION

EARTHA FRANCINA GREEN,
Plaintiff,

VS.

S
CIVIL ACTION NO. 1:19-02793-MGL

ANDREW SAUL,
Commissioner of Social Security
Administration,
Defendant.

Defendant.

ORDER ADOPTING THE REPORT AND RECOMMENDATION AND AFFIRMING DEFENDANT'S DECISION TO DENY BENEFITS

This is a Social Security appeal in which Plaintiff Eartha Francina Green seeks judicial review of the final decision of Defendant Andrew Saul (Saul) denying her claims for Disability Insurance Benefits and Supplemental Security Income. The matter is before the Court for review of the Report and Recommendation (Report) of the United States Magistrate Judge suggesting Saul's decision be affirmed. The Magistrate Judge filed the Report in accordance with 28 U.S.C. § 636 and Local Civil Rule 73.02 for the District of South Carolina.

The Magistrate Judge makes only a recommendation to this Court. The recommendation has no presumptive weight. The responsibility to make a final determination remains with the Court. *Mathews v. Weber*, 423 U.S. 261, 270 (1976). The Court is charged with making a de novo determination of those portions of the Report to which specific objection is made, and the Court may

1:19-cv-02793-MGL Date Filed 08/05/20 Entry Number 21 Page 2 of 2

accept, reject, or modify, in whole or in part, the recommendation of the Magistrate Judge or

recommit the matter with instructions. 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1).

The Magistrate Judge filed the Report on July 27, 2020, and Green filed a reply on August

5, 2020, stating she would not be filing any objections to the Report. "[I]n the absence of a timely

filed objection, a district court need not conduct a de novo review, but instead must 'only satisfy

itself that there is no clear error on the face of the record in order to accept the recommendation."

Diamond v. Colonial Life & Acc. Ins. Co., 416 F.3d 310, 315 (4th Cir. 2005) (quoting Fed. R. Civ.

P. 72 advisory committee's note). Moreover, a failure to object waives appellate review. Wright

v. Collins, 766 F.2d 841, 845-46 (4th Cir. 1985).

After a thorough review of the Report and the record in this case pursuant to the standard set

forth above, the Court adopts the Report and incorporates it herein. Therefore, it is the judgment of

the Court Saul's decision is **AFFIRMED**.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Signed this 5th day of August, 2020, in Columbia, South Carolina.

/s/ Mary Geiger Lewis

MARY GEIGER LEWIS

UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

2